UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case:2:17-cr-20662
Judge: Lawson, David M.
MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A.
Filed: 10-11-2017 At 12:39 PM
INDI USA V WASHINGTON (BG)

vs.

VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 666(a)

18 U.S.C. § 371

D-1 CELIA WASHINGTON,

	en		

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. At all times relevant to this Indictment, defendant **CELIA WASHINGTON** was an agent of the City of Detroit, Michigan, in that she was employed as a legal advisor to the Chief of the Detroit Police Department with the title of Deputy Chief of Police. Part of the defendant's job responsibilities included overseeing the Detroit Police Department's licensing, regulation, and use of private towing companies.

- 2. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Detroit, Michigan, was a local government entity that received federal assistance in excess of \$10,000 during each of the calendar years 2016 and 2017.
- 3. All of the overt acts in this Indictment occurred in the Eastern District of Michigan.
- 4. At all times relevant to this Indictment, the total potential yearly revenue for towing companies receiving City of Detroit tows exceeded two million dollars.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Indictment, City of Detroit towing rules were in place prohibiting a towing owner from having more than one towing company in each police district or precinct towing rotation.
- 6. At all times relevant to this Indictment, CELIA WASHINGTON was aware that the owner of several towing companies ("the owner") had controlling ownership interest in multiple towing companies that had been placed in a single police district or precinct towing rotation, in violation of the City of Detroit towing rules.

COUNT ONE

(18 U.S.C. §§ 371 & 666(a) – Bribery Conspiracy Concerning Programs Receiving Federal Funds)

D-1 CELIA WASHINGTON

THE CONSPIRACY

From in and about February 2016 until June 2017, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant **CELIA WASHINGTON** did unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with the owner and others, to corruptly agree to accept and accept cash from the owner with the intent to influence and reward **CELIA WASHINGTON** in connection with a business, transaction, or series of transactions of the City of Detroit, Michigan involving \$5,000 or more, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 666(a).

MANNER AND MEANS BY WHICH THE CONSPIRACY WAS CARRIED OUT

It was part of the conspiracy that **CELIA WASHINGTON** would meet with the owner in the City of Detroit and accept thousands of dollars in cash from the owner.

It was further part of the conspiracy that, in exchange for the cash, **CELIA WASHINGTON** would tell the owner she would use her official position as Deputy

Chief of Police to assist the owner with towing permits and rotation placement in the

City of Detroit.

It was further part of the conspiracy that **CELIA WASHINGTON** assisted the owner in violating the City of Detroit rules that prohibited the owner from having multiple towing companies on a single district or precinct towing rotation.

OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the unlawful conspiracy, and to effect its objectives, the coconspirators committed the following overt acts, among others:

- 1. In or about February of 2016, **CELIA WASHINGTON** met with the owner and requested money.
- 2. In or about February of 2016, the owner gave **CELIA WASHINGTON** at least \$3,000 in cash.
- 3. On April 28, 2016, CELIA WASHINGTON had a telephone conversation with the owner in which WASHINGTON made sure the owner would be able to comply with a deadline that WASHINGTON would be setting for the application for towing permits in the City of Detroit.
- 4. On May 6, 2016, the owner had a telephone conversation with an associate and told the associate that **WASHINGTON** wanted an email sent to **WASHINGTON's** personal email address that specified which towing rotations the owner wanted in the City of Detroit.
 - 5. On May 6, 2016, the owner had a telephone conversation with a relative

who works for the owner. The owner instructed the relative to send **WASHINGTON** the desired police precinct rotations that the relative wanted for the owner's towing businesses.

- 6. On May 9, 2016, the relative of the owner sent an email to **WASHINGTON's** personal email address that described the desired police precinct towing rotations for the owner's towing companies.
- 7. On or about June 2, 2016, **CELIA WASHINGTON** assisted in issuing a towing rotation which violated the City of Detroit towing rules because the owner had multiple towing companies in single precincts.
- 8. On June 3, 2016, CELIA WASHINGTON had a telephone conversation with the owner and told the owner that she "did everything [she] could" to help the owner get his towing businesses placed in the police precinct rotations that the owner wanted.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 371 and 666(a).

COUNT TWO

(18 U.S.C. § 666(a) – Bribery Concerning Programs Receiving Federal Funds)

D-1 CELIA WASHINGTON

In or about February 2016, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, defendant **CELIA WASHINGTON** did unlawfully and corruptly accept and agree to accept approximately \$3,000 in cash from the owner, with the intent to be influenced and rewarded in connection with a business, transaction, or series of transactions of the City of Detroit, Michigan involving \$5,000 or more.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 666(a).

THIS IS A TRUE BILL

s/Grand Jury Foreperson
GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

DANIEL L. LEMISCH Acting United States Attorney

s/R. Michael Bullotta
R. MICHAEL BULLOTTA
Assistant United States Attorney

s/David A. Gardey
DAVID A. GARDEY
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Public Corruption Unit

Dated: October 11, 2017

Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Co	ver Sheet	Case Number	
OTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U	S. Attorney signing this form to con	plete it accurately i	n all respects.	
Companion Case Informat	ion	Companion Case Number: 16-20732		
This may be a companion case based u	pon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :	Judge Assigned: Robert H. Cleland		
⊠Yes □N	lo	AUSA's Initials:		
Case Title: USA v. D-1 C	ELIA WASHINGTON			
County where offense oc				
_				
Check One: ⊠Felony	<i>(</i> ∟Misd	emeanor	∐Petty	
✓ Indictment/ In	nformation no prior comp	laint.		
	nformation based upon p			
	normation based apon p	rior complaint [0	Case number:	
			Case number: mplete Superseding section below].	
Indictment/Ir	nformation based upon L			
Indictment/Ir	nformation based upon L			
Indictment/Iruperseding Case Informat	nformation based upon L	CrR 57.10 (d) [Co		
Indictment/Iruperseding Case Informat	nformation based upon L			
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/	ion	CrR 57.10 (d) [Co.		
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/	ion ditional charges or defendan	Judge:		
Indictment/Iruperseding Case Informate uperseding to Case No: Corrects errors; no add Involves, for plea purp	ion	Judge: ts.	mplete Superseding section below].	
Indictment/Ir uperseding Case Informat uperseding to Case No: Corrects errors; no add Involves, for plea purp	ion ditional charges or defendant	Judge: ts. lds counts. conal defendants	mplete Superseding section below].	
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/_Indictment/_Indictment/_Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/_Indictment/Indictme	rion ditional charges or defendant oses, different charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional cha	Judge: ts. lds counts. conal defendants	s or charges below:	
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/_Indictment/	rion ditional charges or defendant oses, different charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional cha	Judge: ts. lds counts. conal defendants	s or charges below:	
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/_Indictment/	rion ditional charges or defendant oses, different charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional charges or act matter but adds the additional charges or act matter but additional cha	Judge: ts. lds counts. conal defendants	s or charges below:	
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Informate uperseding Case Informate uperseding to Case No: Corrects errors; no add Involves, for plea purp Embraces same subjection	ion ditional charges or defendant oses, different charges or act matter but adds the additi	Judge: ts. lds counts. conal defendants	s or charges below:	
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/_Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/_Indictment/	ion ditional charges or defendant oses, different charges or act matter but adds the additi	Judge: ts. lds counts. conal defendants	s or charges below: Prior Complaint (if applicable)	
Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/Indictment/_Indictment/_Indictment/_Indictment/_Indictment/_Indictment/_Indictment/	ion ditional charges or defendant oses, different charges or act matter but adds the additi	Judge: ts. lds counts. conal defendants	s or charges below: Prior Complaint (if applicable)	

R. MICHAEL BÚLLOTTA Assistant United States Attorney 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001

Detroit, MI 48226-3277 Phone: 313-226-9507

Fax: 313-226-3413

E-Mail address: Michael.Bullotta@usdoj.gov

Attorney Bar #: 163401 (CA)

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.